

Psychedelic Therapy: A Primer for Primary Care Clinicians— The Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Threats of Psychedelic Therapeutics

Owen S. Muir, MD, DFAACAP,^{1,2} Kenneth Shinozuka, BA,^{3,4,*}
Bryce D. Beutler, MD,⁵ Alejandro Arenas, MD,⁶ Kirsten Cherian, PhD,⁷
Viviana D. Evans, BA,⁸ Chelsey Fasano, BA,⁹ and
Burton J. Tabaac, MD, FAHA^{10,11}

The reviews in this special edition have presented a primer on the state of the literature for 7 different psychedelic compounds and their plausible roles in medicine. In a common format underscoring strengths, weakness, opportunities, and threats (SWOT), this article addresses how psychedelic compounds fit into the broader health care landscape for indicated conditions. Historically, psychiatric pathologies have been treated with small-molecule compounds that have limited effect sizes and carry a variety of adverse effect profiles. Psychedelic medicines offer the opportunity to provide more potent and rapidly acting treatments. It is crucial to note that this is an emerging field of medicine, and only one of these compounds (esketamine) is currently Food and Drug Administration-approved for depression. The other compounds discussed are investigational, and this discussion is both imaginative and prospective in nature.

STRENGTHS

When taking into consideration the psychedelic class of medications, the strengths that are most elucidated

share several features: potency, unique adverse effect profile, lack of known metabolic side effects, the potential for rapid onset and durability of effects, and opportunities for concurrent psychotherapy.

The overarching strength of the psychedelic compound class is that these therapeutics create potent sustainable change, with effect sizes that are much higher than those of existing small-molecule pharmacological treatments. The average effect size of antidepressants is $d = 0.3$,¹ but the effect size of psilocybin is as high as $d = 2.6$.² Psilocybin also outperforms all but one of the 19 existing treatments for alcohol use disorder that are examined in a recent meta-analysis; psilocybin has a rate ratio (incidence of heavy drinking days in participants who received the psilocybin treatment over the incidence in participants who got placebo) of 0.36, whereas the median rate ratio among the existing treatments is 0.82.³ Across all 6 phase II trials, the effect size of 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA)-assisted psychotherapy was nearly 2 times higher than that of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved medication paroxetine and 3 times higher than that of

¹Fermata Health, Brooklyn, NY; ²Acacia Clinics, Sunnyvale, CA; ³Centre for Eudaimonia and Human Flourishing, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom; ⁴Department of Psychiatry, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom; ⁵University of Southern California, Keck School of Medicine, Los Angeles, CA; ⁶Department of Anesthesiology, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, WA; ⁷Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA; ⁸Department of Psychiatry, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY; ⁹Teachers College, Columbia University, New York, NY; ¹⁰University of Nevada, Reno School of Medicine, Reno, NV; and ¹¹Department of Neurology, Carson Tahoe Health, Carson City, NV
The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

*Address for correspondence: Kenneth Shinozuka, BA, Centre for Eudaimonia and Human Flourishing, 7 Stoke Place, Headington, Oxford OX3 9BX, United Kingdom. E-mail: kenneth.shinozuka@psych.ox.ac.uk

the other FDA-approved medication sertraline.⁴ Although only a third of patients remit from depression after taking antidepressants,⁵ top-line results from a recent phase IIa trial showed that 57% of participants experienced remission 12 weeks after receiving a single dose of N,N-dimethyltryptamine (DMT).⁶

Owing to their swift therapeutic effects, dropout rates in clinical trials of psychedelics tend to be much lower than those of existing treatments. Dropout rates are 1.7 times lower for MDMA therapy than for the FDA-approved medication paroxetine and 4.1 times lower than for the other FDA-approved medication, sertraline.⁴ Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors have an average dropout rate of 28%,⁷ whereas clinical trials that administered multiple doses of psilocybin reported dropout rates that were as low as 0% and as high as 7%.^{2,8}

The therapeutic effects from just 1 to 3 sessions of psychedelic treatment seem to be highly durable. For instance, in 1 clinical trial in which participants received a single dose of psilocybin to treat end-of-life anxiety, 60%–80% of participants sustained a clinically significant antidepressant or anxiolytic response at a 4.5-year follow-up.^{9,10} End-of-life anxiety was reduced in a similarly large proportion of participants (78%)—12 months after receiving a single high dose of LSD.^{11,12} A longitudinal analysis of 6 phase II trials on MDMA actually found that the number of participants who no longer met the diagnostic criteria for PTSD increased from the end of treatment (56%) to the 1-year follow-up (67%).¹³

In addition, psychedelics seem to be very safe. Although ibogaine can induce heart arrhythmias, particularly in patients with preexisting cardiovascular conditions, the other psychedelics do not seem to carry any physiological risks.^{14,15} The risks of fatal overdose and addiction are very low, especially when psychedelics are administered in a clinical context.^{16,17} Although MDMA can be addictive, recent phase III trials reported that none of the participants abused or depended on MDMA after their treatment session.¹⁸ Psychologically, psychedelics can cause anxiety, but feelings of mental discomfort typically subside once the acute effects are over, particularly when participants are actively monitored by trained therapists.¹⁹

WEAKNESSES

When psychedelics are used in recreational contexts without adequate supervision, they can lead to tragic outcomes.²⁰ There are rare reports of serious adverse effects, including psychosis and even suicide, arising from recreational use.²¹ Methods for subduing so-called “bad trips” in recreational settings include potentially dangerous habits, such as taking

benzodiazepines, which are known to be “trip killers.”²² That being said, large-scale population surveys have found no significant association between psychedelic use and mental health problems.^{23,24} Nevertheless, many more people have ingested psychedelic compounds for recreational purposes than in monitored medical contexts, compared with any other group of novel therapeutics.²⁵ Therefore, the adverse effect profile of psychedelics remains unclear within a population of patients who are unlikely to imbibe these compounds recreationally.

Most problems associated with psychedelic use can be avoided in clinical settings where participants are screened and actively monitored by trained therapists. Long-term adverse effects have not been reported in contemporary clinical trials on psychedelics,¹⁹ although transient adverse effects can be quite serious; for instance, in the largest clinical trial of psilocybin to date with 233 participants, there were 7 instances of suicidal ideation or self-injury from Day 2 to Week 3 after treatment.²⁶

Furthermore, it is possible that, as psychedelics are deployed on a larger scale, there may be more reports of mental health problems, especially if adequate measures are not in place to monitor participants. Indeed, the number of double-blind, placebo-controlled RCTs on psychedelics is still relatively small (see *Part I* of this special edition for a list of all such RCTs), in large part because psychedelics have been Schedule I substances for decades. When sample sizes are small, rare adverse effects will be under-reported and under-addressed.²⁷ Psychedelic therapies require significant postmarketing surveillance to understand the complete adverse effect profile and variability between specific population cohorts.

Psychedelics can engender experiences of profound personal or spiritual significance,²⁸ yet these experiences are plausibly biasing to scientists. Scientists who have had experiences with psychedelic medicines will understand what they are capable of but may be inclined to believe that they are more powerful or have special properties compared with existing treatments.²⁹

OPPORTUNITIES

Psychedelics not only are potent in treatment-resistant populations but also act very rapidly compared with existing treatments. For instance, the median length of antidepressant treatment is 2 years.³⁰ By contrast, psychedelics can lead to sustained remission from depressive symptoms after just 1 or 2 doses.^{2,8,26,31–34}

If they become approved by the FDA, the limited dosing regimens of psychedelics would significantly decrease health care spending for underserved

populations. This reduction in cost to the medical system is a remarkable opportunity, especially in patient populations who have traditionally been the last to gain access to novel treatments because of socioeconomic constraints.^{35,36} Psychedelics are therefore positioned to make quality treatment much more accessible for psychiatric patients, especially in Medicaid populations. The time an individual is enrolled on a Medicaid health plan can be scant, with the average duration approximating 10 months.³⁷ This leads to “churn” or frequent turnover between different health care plans, which can interrupt ongoing treatments.³⁸ If they were to receive psychedelic therapy, Medicaid populations would not have to face these disruptions to their treatment plans.

Rapid resolution of depression and other psychiatric conditions will also reduce the considerable loss of productivity that mental illness diagnoses, such as depression and PTSD, exert on the workplace. Psychedelic medications may have wider and more generalizable efficacy than existing antidepressant treatments; for instance, genetic polymorphisms are known to mediate the efficacy of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors.³⁹

THREATS

Although psychedelics require much fewer administrations than existing antidepressant medications, a trained therapist must be present throughout the acute effects of psychedelics, which can last up to 6 hours with psilocybin and up to 12 hours with LSD.^{40, p. 200,41} In most clinical trials on psychedelics, a therapist has also provided psychological support to participants in the days after the treatment to help them integrate their experience.⁴² Therefore, although psychedelic therapy will overall be less expensive, the cost per session will likely be higher than the cost per dose of antidepressant treatments, which typically do not involve therapists.

Well-trained therapists are of paramount importance in psychedelic treatments. Unlike existing medications, psychedelics are known to have heavy psychoactive effects that are capable of radically altering a person's worldview.⁴³ These changes can be highly beneficial, but they can also be very disorienting. A therapist must be able to help with “picking up the pieces” if a person's worldview is shattered after psychedelic treatment (Edward Jacobs, personal communication). In addition, a therapist must be trained to comfort participants in the event that they have a “bad trip” during the acute effects of psychedelics, which has, in rare cases, led to hospitalization in recreational contexts.⁴⁴

The intense psychoactive effects of psychedelics also create opportunities for malicious therapists to take advantage of participants. There have been accusations of sexual assault by therapists during clinical trials of MDMA sponsored by the Multidisciplinary Association for Psychedelic Studies (MAPS).⁴⁵ In one case, the therapists used the patient's desire to heal from their sexual trauma as a pretense to inappropriately touch them during the MDMA session.⁴¹ However, MAPS responded to this allegation by cutting ties with the therapists,⁴¹ and allegations of sexual harassment during clinical trials of psychedelics have been very rare.

Legal and regulatory barriers may also impede the adoption and widespread use of psychedelic medicines. Currently, all psychedelics reviewed here except ketamine are Schedule I substances, so they cannot be legally prescribed for any purpose.⁴⁶ FDA approval would necessitate rescheduling of psychedelics to Schedule II or lower. However, efforts to deschedule other drugs, such as cannabis, have historically failed,⁴⁷ although a recent Congressional bill to federally legalize marijuana has passed through the House of Representatives.⁴⁸ The Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) imposes limits on annual production of Schedule I substances,⁴⁹ which may restrict the accessibility of psychedelic treatments. Even if psychedelics are rescheduled, it may be challenging for insurance providers to authorize psychedelic therapy.

Because many psychedelics, such as psilocybin and DMT, are natural compounds, psychedelic companies have been racing to patent various aspects of their manufacturing process and therapeutic framework to guarantee a return on investment from large investors.⁵⁰ However, these patents may further drive up the cost of psychedelic therapy.

CONCLUSION

There has been a recent resurgence of research on psychedelics as medicines for mental illnesses, with highly encouraging results. Could this class of drugs create a paradigm shift in the way mindfulness therapy and mental health are approached? In this comprehensive primer, we investigated 7 compounds—LSD, DMT and ayahuasca, psilocybin, ibogaine, MDMA, and ketamine—as treatments for anxiety, depression, PTSD, and addiction. Readers are challenged to question their preconceived notions and judgments regarding this group of restricted drugs. There is mounting evidence to support the meaningful and durable benefits of psychedelics on mental health. Hence, in a recent survey of medical students, most participants agreed that psychedelics either have therapeutic potential or merit further

research.⁵¹ Primary care physicians ought to be keen to understand the therapeutic effects of psychedelics despite their long-standing stigma.

REFERENCES

1. Khan A, Fahl Mar K, Brown W. Consistently modest antidepressant effects in clinical trials: the role of regulatory requirements. *Psychopharmacol Bull.* 2021;51:79–108.
2. Davis AK, Barrett FS, May DG, et al. Effects of psilocybin-assisted therapy on major depressive disorder: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Psychiatry.* 2021;78:481–489.
3. Bahji A, Bach P, Danilewitz M, et al. Pharmacotherapies for adults with alcohol use disorders: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *J Addict Med.* 2022;16:630–638.
4. Feduccia AA, Jerome L, Yazar-Klosinski B, et al. Breakthrough for trauma treatment: safety and efficacy of MDMA-assisted psychotherapy compared to paroxetine and sertraline. *Front Psychiatry.* 2019;10:650.
5. Gaynes BN, Rush AJ, Trivedi MH, et al. The STAR*D study: treating depression in the real world. *Cleve Clin J Med.* 2008;75:57–66.
6. Eckford C. Major study on DMT shows promise for depression. *European Pharmaceutical Review.* Available at: <https://www.europeanpharmaceuticalreview.com/news/178880/major-study-on-dmt-shows-promise-for-depression/>. Accessed December 05, 2023.
7. Machado M, Iskedjian M, Ruiz I, et al. Remission, dropouts, and adverse drug reaction rates in major depressive disorder: a meta-analysis of head-to-head trials. *Curr Med Res Opin.* 2006;22:1825–1837.
8. Carhart-Harris RL, Bolstridge M, Rucker J, et al. Psilocybin with psychological support for treatment-resistant depression: an open-label feasibility study. *Lancet Psychiatry.* 2016;3:619–627.
9. Ross S, Bossis A, Guss J, et al. Rapid and sustained symptom reduction following psilocybin treatment for anxiety and depression in patients with life-threatening cancer: a randomized controlled trial. *J Psychopharmacol.* 2016;30:1165–1180.
10. Agin-Liebes GI, Malone T, Yalch MM, et al. Long-term follow-up of psilocybin-assisted psychotherapy for psychiatric and existential distress in patients with life-threatening cancer. *J Psychopharmacol.* 2020;34:155–166.
11. Gasser P, Holstein D, Michel Y, et al. Safety and efficacy of lysergic acid diethylamide-assisted psychotherapy for anxiety associated with life-threatening diseases. *J Nerv Ment Dis.* 2014;202:513–520.
12. Gasser P, Kirchner K, Passie T. LSD-assisted psychotherapy for anxiety associated with a life-threatening disease: a qualitative study of acute and sustained subjective effects. *J Psychopharmacol.* 2015;29:57–68.
13. Jerome L, Feduccia AA, Wang JB, et al. Long-term follow-up outcomes of MDMA-assisted psychotherapy for treatment of PTSD: a longitudinal pooled analysis of six phase 2 trials. *Psychopharmacology (Berl).* 2020;237:2485–2497.
14. Koenig X, Hilber K. The anti-addiction drug ibogaine and the heart: a delicate relation. *Molecules.* 2015;20:2208–2228.
15. Schlag AK, Aday J, Salam I, et al. Adverse effects of psychedelics: from anecdotes and misinformation to systematic science. *J Psychopharmacol.* 2022;36:258–272.
16. Nichols DE, Grob CS. Is LSD toxic? *Forensic Sci Int.* 2018;284:141–145.
17. Nichols DE. Psychedelics. *Pharmacol Rev.* 2016;68:264–355.
18. Mitchell JM, Ot'olora G M, van der Kolk B, et al. MDMA-assisted therapy for moderate to severe PTSD: a randomized, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. *Nat Med.* 2023;29:2473–2480.
19. Roscoe J, Lozy O. Can psilocybin be safely administered under medical supervision? A systematic review of adverse event reporting in clinical trials. *Drug Sci Policy L.* 2022;8:205032452210852.
20. Stevens M, Taylor DB. *Matthew Perry Died of "Acute Effects of Ketamine," Autopsy Says.* The New York Times; 2023. Available at: <https://www.nytimes.com/2023/12/15/arts/matthew-perry-cause-death-friends.html>. Accessed December 21, 2023.
21. Cohen S. Lysergic acid diethylamide: side effects and complications. *J Nerv Ment Dis.* 1960;130:30–40.
22. Yates G, Melon E. Trip-killers: a concerning practice associated with psychedelic drug use. *Emerg Med J.* 2024;41:112–113.
23. Krebs TS, Johansen P-Ø. Psychedelics and mental health: a population study. *PLoS One.* 2013;8:e63972.
24. Johansen P-Ø, Krebs TS. Psychedelics not linked to mental health problems or suicidal behavior: a population study. *J Psychopharmacol.* 2015;29:270–279.
25. Johnstad PG. Who is the typical psychedelics user? Methodological challenges for research in psychedelics use and its consequences. *Nordisk Alkohol- Narkotikatidskrift.* 2021;38:35–49.
26. Goodwin GM, Aaronson ST, Alvarez O, et al. Single-dose psilocybin for a treatment-resistant episode of major depression. *N Engl J Med.* 2022;387:1637–1648.
27. Bassler D, Montori VM, Briel M, et al. Early stopping of randomized clinical trials for overt efficacy is problematic. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2008;61:241–246.
28. Griffiths RR, Richards WA, McCann U, et al. Psilocybin can occasion mystical-type experiences having substantial and sustained personal meaning and spiritual significance. *Psychopharmacology (Berl).* 2006;187:268–292.
29. Kious B, Schwartz Z, Lewis B. Should we be leery of being Leary? Concerns about psychedelic use by psychedelic researchers. *J Psychopharmacol.* 2023;37:45–48.
30. Kendrick T. Strategies to reduce use of antidepressants. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 2021;87:23–33.
31. Raison CL, Sanacora G, Woolley J, et al. Single-dose psilocybin treatment for major depressive disorder: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA.* 2023;330:843–853.
32. Von Rotz R, Schindowski EM, Jungwirth J, et al. Single-dose psilocybin-assisted therapy in major depressive disorder: a placebo-controlled, double-blind, randomised clinical trial. *EClinicalMedicine.* 2023;56:101809.
33. Aaronson ST, van der Vaart A, Miller T, et al. Single-dose synthetic psilocybin with psychotherapy for treatment-

- resistant bipolar type II major depressive episodes: a non-randomized controlled trial. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2023:e234685.
34. Carhart-Harris R, Giribaldi B, Watts R, et al. Trial of psilocybin versus escitalopram for depression. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;384:1402–1411.
 35. Clark CR, Ommerborn MJ, A Coull B, et al. Income inequities and medicaid expansion are related to racial and ethnic disparities in delayed or forgone care due to cost. *Med Care*. 2016;54:555–561.
 36. Roginiel AC, Dhruva SS, Ross JS. Evidence supporting FDA approval and CMS national coverage determinations for novel medical products, 2005 through 2016: a cross-sectional study. *Medicine*. 2018;97:e12715.
 37. Sugar S, Peters C, De Lew N, et al. *Medicaid Churning and Continuity of Care*. ASPE. Available at: <https://aspe.hhs.gov/reports/medicaid-churning-continuity-care>. Accessed December 21, 2023.
 38. Cook BL, Zuvekas SH, Carson N, et al. Assessing racial/ethnic disparities in treatment across episodes of mental health care. *Health Serv Res*. 2014;49:206–229.
 39. Lotrich FE, Pollock BG. Candidate genes for antidepressant response to selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2005;1:17–35.
 40. Passie T, Seifert J, Schneider U, et al. The pharmacology of psilocybin. *Addict Biol*. 2002;7:357–364.
 41. Passie T, Halpern JH, Stichtenoth DO, et al. The pharmacology of lysergic acid diethylamide: a review. *CNS Neurol Ther*. 2008;14:295–314.
 42. Reiff CM, Richman EE, Nemeroff CB, et al. Psychedelics and psychedelic-assisted psychotherapy. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2020;177:391–410.
 43. Roth BL, Gumpfer RH. Psychedelics as transformative therapeutics. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2023;180:340–347.
 44. Johnstad PG. Day trip to hell: a mixed methods study of challenging psychedelic experiences. *J Psychedelic Stud*. 2021;5:114–127.
 45. Busby M. *MDMA Trials Under Review in Canada over Alleged Abuse of Study Participants*. The Guardian; 2022. Available at: <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2022/jun/20/mdma-trials-canada-review-alleged-abuse>. Accessed December 21, 2023.
 46. Lamkin M. Prescription psychedelics: the road from FDA approval to clinical practice. *Am J Med*. 2022; 135:15–16.
 47. Riggins KL. The heart of the matter: the federal decriminalization and the need for federal rescheduling of marijuana. *Thurgood Marshall L Rev*. 2020;2019; 44:75.
 48. Nadler J. H.R.3617 - 117th Congress (2021-2022): marijuana opportunity Reinvestment and Expungement act. Available at: <https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/3617>. Accessed December 21, 2023.
 49. Rosebush LH, Wagner MN. *The DEA Quota System*. *FDLI Update*; 2018:26.
 50. Jacobs A. *With Promise of Legalization, Psychedelic Companies Joust over Future Profits*. The New York Times; 2022. Available at: <https://www.nytimes.com/2022/10/25/health/psychedelic-drug-therapy-patents.html>. Accessed December 21, 2023.
 51. Li I, Fong R, Hagen M, et al. Medical student attitudes and perceptions of psychedelic-assisted therapies. *Front Psychiatry*. 2023;14:1190507.